
## BUROHAPPOLD ENGINEERING



## Feasibility Analysis of Integration of Multi-Disciplinary Engineering in One Digital Space

**Final Report** 

**Technical Development** 

14 July 2017

Revision 02

For internal use only.

## **Contents**

0	Executi	ve Summary	10
	0.1	Task automation	10
	0.2	Integrated and Coordinated design	11
	0.3	Resource management	12
	0.4	Management and training	12
	0.5	Recommendations	13
	0.6	Opening Words	14
1	Introdu	ection	17
	1.1	The Stakes	17
	1.2	About this Report	17
	1.2.1	About the Author	17
	1.2.2	Methodology	18
	1.2.3	Scope	19
	1.3	Motivations and Challenges	19
	1.4	The Computational Collective	20
	1.5	The Task at Hand	21
	1.5.1	Aspects of the One Digital Environment	21
	1.5.2	Opening Thoughts	23
2	Current	t Practices and Automation Possibilities	25
	2.1	Structures	25
	2.2	Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing	27
	2.3	Building Physics	30
	2.4	Construction Administration	32
	2.5	Integrated Workflow Practices	32
	2.6	Conclusions	33
3	Tooling	for Better Integrated and Coordinated Design	35
	3.1	The BHOM	35
	3.1.1	BHOM Design Motivations and Observations	35
	3.1.2	BHOM Structure and Technical Details	36
	3.1.3	Example BHOM Workflows	37
	3.1.4	Discipline Integration	39
Го	:1-:11:4 /	Analysis of Interpretion of Multi-Dissiplinary Engineering in One Disital Space	

 $\label{lem:peasibility} \textbf{ Analysis of Integration of Multi-Disciplinary Engineering in One Digital Space}$ 

3.1.5	Potential MEP Integration Difficulties	40		
3.1.6	Integration Roadmap	40		
3.1.7	Other Considerations	41		
3.2	BH SMART Space Group	42		
3.3	Current Revit Tools	42		
3.4	Other Tools	43		
3.4.1	Virtual Reality and Interactive Design	43		
3.4.2	Flux.io	43		
3.4.3	Autodesk	44		
3.4.4	Dassault Systèmes	45		
3.4.5	Other Vendors	45		
3.5	Hardware Limitations	47		
3.6	Conclusions	47		
Management, Communication and Resourcing				
4.1	Creating a Conducive Company Culture	49		
4.1.1	Upskilling for the Future	49		
4.1.2	Knowledge Sharing and Documentation	50		
4.1.3	Communication At Large	50		
4.2	The Role of the Computational Collective across the Larger Practice	51		
4.2.1	Internal Communication within the Computational Collective	51		
4.2.2	Split between Technical Development and Project Work	51		
4.2.3	Possibility for Dedicated Software Developer Roles	52		
4.2.4	Possibility for Software as a Service Spin-Off	52		
4.3	Team Structure	53		
4.4	BIM Management Roles and Naming	54		
4.5	Other Uses and Data Mining	54		
5 Conclusion		57		
5.1	Tracking Progress and Key Performance Indicators	57		
5.2	Closing Words	57		
Append	dix A Bibliography			
Append	dix B BuroHappold Individuals Interviewed			
Appendix C Proposed Hackathon Plan				
Appendix D Script Documentation Template				
Appendix E What We Want to Avoid				
	3.1.7 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.4.1 3.4.2 3.4.3 3.4.4 3.4.5 3.6 Manage 4.1 4.1.1 4.1.2 4.1.3 4.2 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3 4.2.4 4.3 4.4 4.5 Conclust 5.1 5.2 Append Append Append Append	3.1.6 Integration Roadmap 3.1.7 Other Considerations 3.2 BH SMART Space Group 3.3 Current Revit Tools 3.4 Other Tools 3.4.1 Virtual Reality and Interactive Design 3.4.2 Flux.io 3.4.3 Autodesk 3.4.4 Dassault Systèmes 3.4.5 Other Vendors 3.5 Hardware Limitations 3.6 Conclusions  Management, Communication and Resourcing 4.1 Creating a Conducive Company Culture 4.1.1 Upskilling for the Future 4.1.2 Knowledge Sharing and Documentation 4.1.3 Communication At Large 4.2 The Role of the Computational Collective across the Larger Practice 4.2.1 Internal Communication within the Computational Collective 4.2.2 Split between Technical Development and Project Work 4.2.3 Possibility for Dedicated Software Developer Roles 4.2.4 Possibility for Software as a Service Spin-Off 4.3 Team Structure 4.4 BIM Management Roles and Naming Conclusion Conclusion  Conclusion  Tracking Progress and Key Performance Indicators 5.2 Closing Words  Appendix A Bibliography  Appendix B BuroHappold Individuals Interviewed  Appendix C Proposed Hackathon Plan  Appendix C Proposed Hackathon Plan		

Feasibility Analysis of Integration of Multi-Disciplinary Engineering in One Digital Space

## **Table of Tables**

Table 1—1: 8-week Proposed Plan	18
Table of Figures	
Figure 0—1: Aspects of the 'One Digital Space'	10
Figure 0—2: Cost-Benefit Graphical Example	10
Figure 0—3: Uncoordinated Vs. Coordinated Design	11
Figure 0—4: Current BHOM Structure with the Mongo Database and some apps	11
Figure 0—5: BH Team Members	13
Figure 1—1: The BHCC Wheel, with its people, disciplines and tools [5]	21
Figure 1—2: Integrated Design [6]	22
Figure 2—1: AIA Design Stages for Structures	25
Figure 2—2: Markup Workflow	26
Figure 2—3: Adapter Workflow	26
Figure 2—4: AoP Glass Panel Generation Workflow	27
Figure 2—5: AIA Stages for MEP	28
Figure 2—6: Model Exchange Workflow	28
Figure 2—7: Part of the Plumbing Workflow	29
Figure 2—8: Potential Analysis Workflow Loop	29
Figure 2—9: Potential Component Sizing Workflow	30
Figure 2—10: Model Export Workflow	31
Figure 2—11: Uncoordinated Vs Coordinated Design	32
Figure 3—1: Current BHOM Structure with the Mongo Database and some apps	35
Figure 3—2: The Structure of the BHOM [12]	36
Figure 3—3: Screenshot from BHCC presentation [13]	37
Figure 3—4: QF Stadium BHOM Workflow	38
Figure 3—5: Model Laundry for Structural Analysis	38
Figure 3—6: Grasshopper Model Laundry Tools	38
Figure 3—7: Before and After Model Laundry	39
Figure 3—8: BHOM Discipline Integration Example	40

Figure 3—9: An overview of the Adroit toolset	42
Figure 3—10: Primary Flux Workflow	43
Figure 3—11: Autodesk Project Quantum	44
Figure 4—1: Potential Computational Collective Roles	52
Figure 4—2: BH Team Members	53